

ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಧಾನ ಸಭೆ

**MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY**

*Monday, 18th August 1969*

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore 1, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. D. KOTHAVALI) in the Chair.

---

**STARRED QUESTIONS**

(to which oral answers were given)

**Continuance of Office and Conveyance Allowance to Police Prosecutors**

2. SRI B. P. KADAM (Karwar).—

Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Police prosecutors appointed by the former Government of Bombay in the North Karnatak District were given office and conveyance allowance in addition to their pay ;

(b) if so, whether this allowance was continued till March 1967 after the States' Reorganisation ;

(c) whether this allowance has not been paid thereafter ;

(d) whether the Police prosecutors had represented that the allowance may be continued as they have to maintain an office to house the records and case papers ;

(e) if so, the decision taken by Government in the matter ?

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) The question of continuance of this allowance from 1st April 1967 is under consideration of Government.

(d) Yes.

(e) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (c) above.

**Sri B. P. KADAM.**—Is it not a fact that these Police Prosecutors were given conveyance allowance till reorganisation of States? Is it not protected under the States Reorganisation Act?

† **Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—Different systems and rules were in vogue in different parts of our State at the time of reorganisation. So, for the sake of attaining uniformity, recruitment rules have been made uniform which came into force from 1967. After that date, the recruitment for these posts have been uniform throughout the State. However, as the Hon. Member pointed out, these things are being examined by the Government.

**Sri SIDDIAH KASHIMATH.**—What was the allowance given to them?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—They were getting office-cum-conveyance allowance of Rs. 50.

**Sri B. B. SAYANAK.**—May I know how many years it will take to come to a decision?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—These prosecutors made representations to the Pay Commission also and the Pay Commission has considered this question and it has made certain recommendations. All these things are considered.

**Sri B. P. KADAM.**—May I know why the Government kept quiet in spite of representations from these prosecutors for such a long period of two years?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—The difficulty is, now we have got uniform rules and the recruitment is uniform throughout the State. Supposing we agree in the case of Assistant Public Prosecutors coming from Bombay Karnatak area to pay Rs. 50, then we will be obliged to pay similar conveyance allowance to other prosecutors in other parts of the State. We cannot make an exception in the case of Bombay Karnatak area. But as the Hon. Member says, this was the concession they were enjoying at the time of reorganisation.

### Sanction of a Health Unit for Yellur

3. **Sri P. B. NANDIHALI (Uchagaon).**—

Will the Minister for Health be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Government is aware of the fact that there is a great necessity of a Health Unit in Yellur, Belgaum Taluk;

(b) whether the T.D.B., Belgaum is in favour to sanction the Health Unit for Yellur;

† Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.